Statistical techniques for data analysis in Cosmology

arXiv:0712.3028; arXiv:0911.3105
Numerical recipes (the “bible”)

Licia Verde

ICREA & ICC UB-IEEC

http://icc.ub.edu/~liciaverde

outline

- Lecture 1: Introduction Bayes vs Frequentists, priors, the importance of being Gaussian, modeling and statistical inference, some useful tools.
- Lecture 2: Monte Carlo methods. Different type of errors. Going beyond parameter fitting.
- Lecture 3: forecasting: Fisher matrix approach. Introduction to model selection.

Conclusions.

What's is all about

DATA





?

Measurement errors

Cosmic Variance

Models,
models parameters

LCDM? w? etc…

Probabilities

Probability can be interpreted as a **frequency**

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{n}{N}$$

Frequentists vs Bayesian

For Frequentists events are just frequencies of occurrence: probabilities are only defined as the quantities obtained in the limit when the number of independent trials tends to infinity.

Bayesians interpret probabilities as the degree of belief in a hypothesis: they use judgment, prior information, probability theory etc...

Bayesians and Frequentists often criticize each other; many physicists take a more pragmatic approach about what method to use.

Probabilities

Concept of Random variable x

Probability distribution $\mathcal{P}(x)$

Properties of probability distribution:

1. $\mathcal{P}(x)$ is a non negative, real number for all real values of x.

2. $\mathcal{P}(x)$ is normalized so that [1] $\int dx\mathcal{P}(x) = 1$

3. For mutually exclusive events x_1 and x_2, $\mathcal{P}(x_1 + x_2) = \mathcal{P}(x_1) + \mathcal{P}(x_2)$ the probability of x_1 or x_2 to happen is the sum of the individual probabilities. $\mathcal{P}(x_1 + x_2)$ is also written as $\mathcal{P}(x_1 U x_2)$ or $\mathcal{P}(x_1.OR.x_2)$.

4. In general:

$$\mathcal{P}(a,b) = \mathcal{P}(a)\mathcal{P}(b|a) \quad ; \qquad \mathcal{P}(b,a) = \mathcal{P}(b)\mathcal{P}(a|b) \qquad \mathcal{P}(a,b) = \mathcal{P}(b,a).$$

For independent events then $\mathcal{P}(a,b) = \mathcal{P}(a)\mathcal{P}(b)$.

Ex. Produce examples of this last case

We might want to add:

$$P(a) = \sum_b P(a, b)$$

Useful later when talking about marginalization

Bayes theorem

prior Likelihood

$$\mathcal{P}(H|D) = \frac{\mathcal{P}(H)\mathcal{P}(D|H)}{\mathcal{P}(D)}$$

Posterior

From

$$\mathcal{P}(a,b) = \mathcal{P}(a)\mathcal{P}(b|a) \quad ; \qquad \mathcal{P}(b,a) = \mathcal{P}(b)\mathcal{P}(a|b)$$

Fundamental difference here; “statistical INFERENCE”

Prior: how do you chose P(H)? Back to this later.

Drawbacks: Examples, discussion

r log r

τ log τ exp(-2 τ)

comparing $\mathcal{P}(x)$ with $\mathcal{P}(f(x))$.

$$\mathcal{P}(f) = \mathcal{P}(x(f)) \left| \frac{df}{dx} \right|^{-1}$$

?

Spergel et al 2007



Spergel et al 2003



The importance of the prior



Priors are not generally bad!

Characterizing probability distributions

$$\langle f(x) \rangle = \int dx f(x) \mathcal{P}(x)$$ averages

$$\hat{\mu}_m = \langle x^m \rangle$$ moments

$$\mu_m = \langle (x - \langle x \rangle)^m \rangle$$ central moments

μ_2 is the variance, μ_3 is called the skewness, μ_4 is related to the kurtosis.

Gaussian vs non-Gaussian

Characterizing probability distributions



Moments vs cumulants

For non-Gaussian distribution, the relation between central moments and cumulants for the first 6 orders is

$$\mu_1 = 0$$

$$\mu_2 = \kappa_2$$

$$\mu_3 = \kappa_3$$

$$\mu_4 = \kappa_4 + 3(\kappa_2)^2$$

$$\mu_5 = \kappa_5 + 10\kappa_3\kappa_2$$

$$\mu_6 = \kappa_6 + 15\kappa_4\kappa_2 + 10(\kappa_3)^2 + 15(\kappa_2)^3$$

For a Gaussian distribution all moments of order higher than 2 are specified by μ_1 and μ_2

Generating function

$$Z(k) = \langle \exp(ikx) \rangle = \int dx \exp(ikx) \mathcal{P}(x)$$

$$Z(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ik)^n}{n!} \hat{\mu}_n$$

$$\hat{\mu}_n = (-i^n) \frac{d^n}{dk^n} Z(k)|_{k=0}$$

Check that:

cumulants are obtained by doing the same operation on $\ln Z$.

Central limit theorem

n events $\qquad \mathcal{P}(x_i) \qquad < x_i >= 0$ for simplicity.

let Y be their sum. $\qquad \mathcal{P}(Y)?$

$$Z_Y(k) = \sum_{m=0}^{m=\infty} \left[\frac{(ik)_m}{m!} \mu^m \right]^n \simeq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{k^2 < x^2 >}{n} + ... \right)^n$$

for $n \longrightarrow \infty$ then $Z_Y(k) \longrightarrow \exp[-1/2k^2 < x^2 >]$.

$$\mathcal{P}(Y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi < x^2 >}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{Y^2}{< x^2 >} \right]$$

There are exceptions:

Cauchy distribution

$$\mathcal{P}(x) \;=\; [\pi\sigma(1 + [(x - \bar{x})/\sigma]^2]^{-1}.$$

The Poisson distribution



$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \rho\delta V \qquad \mathcal{P}_0 = 1 - \rho\delta V.$$

$$Z(k) = \sum_n \mathcal{P}_n \exp(ikn) = 1 + \rho\delta V(\exp(ik) - 1)$$

$$Z(k) = (1 + \rho\delta V(\exp(ik) - 1))^{V/\delta V} \sim \exp[\rho V(\exp(ik) - 1)].$$

substitution $\rho V \longrightarrow \lambda$

$$Z(k) = \exp[\lambda(\exp(ik) - 1)] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n/n! \exp(-\lambda) \exp(ikn).$$

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \exp[-\lambda]$$

The importance of Gaussian

Analytic
Simplicity
Inflation
and the central limit theorem

Random fields, probabilities and Cosmology

Average statistical properties

Particulary important: $\delta(\vec{x}) = \delta\rho(\vec{x})/\rho$

Ensamble: all the possible realizations of the true underlying Universe

Inference: examples

The Cosmological principle: models of the universe are homogeneous on average; in widely separated regions of the Universe the density field has the same statistical properties

A crucial assumption: we see a <u>fair sample</u> of the Universe

Ergodicity then follows: averaging over many realizations is equivalent to averaging over a large(enough) volume

Tools… statistics! Correlation functions etc…

Big advantage of being Bayesian

- Urn example

(in reality NOT transparent)



Cosmic variance





Gaussian random fields

If δ is a Gaussian random field with average 0, its probability distribution is given by:

$$P_n(\delta_1, \cdots, \delta_n) = \frac{\sqrt{\mathrm{Det}\mathbf{C}^{-1}}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\delta^T \mathbf{C}^{-1}\delta\right]$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{ij} = \langle \delta_i \delta_j \rangle.$$

Multi-variate Gaussian

Useful (back to this later)

Fourier!

Property n1: a Gaussian random field in Fourier space is still Gaussian

Property n2

$$P(Re\delta_{\mathbf{k}}, Im\delta_{\mathbf{k}})dRe\delta_{\mathbf{k}}dIm\delta_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_k^2}\exp\left[-\frac{Re\delta_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + Im\delta_{\mathbf{k}}^2}{2\sigma_k^2}\right]dRe\delta_{\mathbf{k}}dIm\delta_{\mathbf{k}}$$

Real and imaginary parts of the coefficients are randomly distributed
And mutually independent

Property n3: the phases of the Fourier modes are random

$$P(|\delta_{\mathbf{k}}|, \phi_{\mathbf{k}})d|\delta_{\mathbf{k}}|d\phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_k^2}\exp\left[-\frac{|\delta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2}{2\sigma_k^2}\right]|\delta_{\mathbf{k}}|d|\delta_{\mathbf{k}}|d\phi_{\mathbf{k}}$$

that is $|\delta_k|$ follows a Rayleigh distribution.

From here the name Gaussian random phases

Important property: σ_k^2 or $\langle\delta_i\delta_j\rangle$ completely specifies your Gaussian random field

follows that the probability that the amplitude is above a certain threshold X

$$P(|\delta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 > X) = \int_{\sqrt{X}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma_k^2} \exp\left[-\frac{|\delta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2}{2\sigma_k^2}\right] |\delta_{\mathbf{k}}| d|\delta_{\mathbf{k}}| = \exp\left[-\frac{X}{\langle|\delta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2\rangle}\right] .$$



Is the density field Gaussian?

Today no way

In the beginning?

Now you can generate a Gaussian random field!

Brief digression

Useful tools:

Fourier transform of overdensity field

$$\delta_{\vec{k}} = A \int d^3r \delta(\vec{r}) \exp[-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}]$$

$$\delta(\vec{r}) = B \int d^3k \delta_{\vec{k}} \exp[i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}]$$

$$\delta^D(\vec{k}) = BA \int d^3r \exp[\pm i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}]$$

Here I chose the convention $A = 1$, $B = 1/(2\pi)^3$,

but always beware

(2-point) Correlation function

$$\xi(x) = \langle \delta(\vec{r})\delta(\vec{r}+\vec{x})\rangle = \int < \delta_{\vec{k}}\delta_{\vec{k}'} > \exp[i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}]\exp[i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{r}+\vec{x})]d^3k d^3k'$$

isotropy $\xi(|x|)$

Power spectrum

$$< \delta_{\vec{k}}\delta_{\vec{k}'} >= (2\pi)^3 P(k)\delta^D(\vec{k}+\vec{k}')$$

important

isotropy $P(k)$

Since $\delta(\vec{r})$ is real. we have that $\delta^*_{\vec{k}} = \delta_{-\vec{k}}$

This implies:

$$< \delta_{\vec{k}} \delta^*_{\vec{k}'} >= (2\pi)^3 \int d^3x \xi(x) \exp[-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}] \delta^d(\vec{k} - \vec{k}')$$

Fourier transform pairs

$$\xi(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int P(k) \exp[i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}] d^3k$$

$$P(k) = \int \xi(x) \exp[-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}] d^3x$$

They contain the same information!

variance

$$\sigma^2 =< \delta^2(x) >= \xi(0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int P(k) d^3k$$

$$\sigma^2 = \int \Delta^2(k) d \ln k \text{ where } \Delta^2(k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} k^3 P(k)$$

Independent of FT conventions!

PS on what scale?

Filters

Two typical choices

$$f = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2} R_G^3} \exp[-1/2x^2/R_G^2] \quad \text{Gaussian} \rightarrow f_k = \exp[-k^2 R_G^2/2]$$

$$f = \frac{1}{(4\pi) R_T^3} \Theta(x/R_T) \quad \text{TopHat} \rightarrow f_k = \frac{3}{(kR_T)^3}[\sin(kR_T) - kR_T \cos(kR_T)]$$

$$\text{roughly } R_T \simeq \sqrt{5} R_G$$

Remember:
Convolution in real space is multiplication in Fourier space
Multiplication in real space in convolution in Fourier space

exercise

Consider a multi variate gaussian

$$P(\delta_1..\delta_n) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}\det\mathbf{C}^{1/2}}\exp[-\frac{1}{2}\delta^T\mathbf{C}^{-1}\delta]$$

Where $C_{ij} =< \delta_i\delta_j >$ is the covariance. Show that if the δ_i are Fourier modes then C_{ij} is diagonal.

For Gaussian fields the k-modes are independent.
Consequences…

The importance of the power spectrum

$$P(k) = A\left(\frac{k}{k_0}\right)^{n}$$

Spectral index

generalize

Running of the Spectral index

$$P(k) = A\left(\frac{k}{k_0}\right)^{n(k_0)+\frac{1}{2}\frac{dn}{d\ln k}\ln(k/k_0)}$$

Beware of the pivot:

$$A(k_1) = A(k_0)\left(\frac{k}{k_0}\right)^{n(k_0)+1/2(dn/d\ln k)\ln(k_1/k_0)}$$

End of digression: Back to Moments vs cumulants

For non-Gaussian distribution, the relation between central moments and cumulants for the first 6 orders is

$$\mu_1 = 0$$

$$\mu_2 = \kappa_2$$

$$\mu_3 = \kappa_3$$

$$\mu_4 = \kappa_4 + 3(\kappa_2)^2$$

$$\mu_5 = \kappa_5 + 10\kappa_3\kappa_2$$

$$\mu_6 = \kappa_6 + 15\kappa_4\kappa_2 + 10(\kappa_3)^2 + 15(\kappa_2)^3$$

For a Gaussian distribution all moments of order higher than 2 are specified by μ_1 and μ_2

Wick's theorem

Is a method of reducing high-order derivatives to a combinatorics problem used in QFT.

Cumulant expansion theorem

Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle \delta_1 \ldots\ldots \delta_6 \rangle \quad &= \\
\langle \delta_1 \delta_2 \rangle_{\text{conn.}} \langle \delta_3 \delta_4 \rangle_{\text{conn.}} \langle \delta_5 \delta_6 \rangle_{\text{conn.}} \quad &+ \quad \ldots 15 \text{ terms} \\
+ \langle \delta_1 \delta_2 \rangle_{\text{conn.}} \langle \delta_3 \delta_4 \delta_5 \delta_6 \rangle_{\text{conn.}} \quad &+ \quad \ldots 15 \text{ terms} \\
+ \langle \delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3 \rangle_{\text{conn.}} \langle \delta_4 \delta_5 \delta_6 \rangle_{\text{conn.}} \quad &+ \quad \ldots 10 \text{ terms} \\
+ \langle \delta_1 \ldots \delta_6 \rangle_{\text{conn.}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Modeling of data and Statistical inference

Read numerical recipes chapter 15, read it again, then when you have to apply all this, read it again.

example

Fit this with a line



Need a “figure of merit”

Least squares….

What you want:

- Best fit parameters
- Error estimates on the parameters
- A statistical measure of the goodness of fit (possibly)

Bayesian: “what is the probability that a particular set of parameters is correct?”

Figure of merit: “given a set of parameters this is the probability of occurrence”

Least squares fit….

$$\chi^2 = \sum_i w_i [D_i - y(x_i|\vec{\alpha})]^2$$

you can show that the minimum variance weights are $w_i = 1/\sigma_1^2$.

And what if data are correlated?

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{ij} (D_i - y_i) F_{ij} (D_j - y_j) = (\vec{D} - \vec{y}) C^{-1} (\vec{D} - \vec{y})$$

In general: chi-squared

Goodness of fit?

If all is Gaussian, the probability of χ^2 at the minimum follows a χ^2 distribution, with ν=n-m degrees of freedom

data points

#parameters

$$\mathcal{P}(\chi^2 < \hat{\chi}^2, \nu) = \mathcal{P}(\nu/2, \hat{\chi}^2/2) = \Gamma(\nu/2, \hat{\chi}^2/2)$$

Incomplete gamma function

$$Q = 1 - \mathcal{P}(\nu/2, \hat{\chi}^2/2)$$

Goodness of fit if evaluated at the best fit

Too small Q?

a) Model is wrong! Try again…

b) Real errors are larger

c) non-Gaussian

In general Monte-Carlo simulate….

Too large Q?

a) Errors overestimated

b) Neglected covariance?

c) Non-Gaussian (almost never..)

P.S chi-by-eye?

Confidence regions

If m is the number of fitted parameters for which you want to plot the joint confidence region and p is the confidence limit desired, find the $\Delta\chi^2$ such that the probability of a chi-Square variable with m degrees of freedom being less than $\Delta\chi^2$ is p. Use the Q function above.

Confidence regions

Number of parameters

σ	p	1	2	3
1-σ	68.3%	1.00	2.30	3.53
	90%	2.71	4.61	6.25
2-σ	95.4%	4.00	6.17	8.02
3-σ	99.73%	9.00	11.8	14.2

$\Delta\chi^2$

Joint confidence levels

Likelihoods

Remember Bayes …

$$\mathcal{P}(H|D) = \frac{\mathcal{P}(H)\mathcal{P}(D|H)}{\mathcal{P}(D)}$$

set $\mathcal{P}(D) = 1$ Back to this later

In many cases, can invoke the central limit theorem

a multi-variate Gaussian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}|detC|^{1/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{ij}(D-y)_i C_{ij}^{-1}(D-y)_j\right]$$

where $C_{ij} = \langle (D_i - y_i)(D_j - y_j)\rangle$ is the covariance matrix.

Confidence levels

Bayesians $\int_R \mathcal{P}(\vec{\alpha}|D)d\vec{\alpha}$ =0.683.. or 0.95… or…

Integrating over the hypothesis

Classical: likelihood ratio

$$-2\ln\left[\frac{\mathcal{L}(\vec{\alpha})}{\mathcal{L}_{max}}\right] \leq \text{threshold}$$

visually



In higher dimensions….

Questions for you

- in what simple case can you make an easy identification of the likelihood ratio with the chi-square?

- In what case can you make an easy identification between the two approaches?

There is a BIG difference between χ^2 & reduced χ^2

$\Delta\chi^2$ as a Function of Confidence Level and Degrees of Freedom						
	ν					
p	1	2	3	4	5	6
68.3%	1.00	2.30	3.53	4.72	5.89	7.04
90%	2.71	4.61	6.25	7.78	9.24	10.6
95.4%	4.00	6.17	8.02	9.70	11.3	12.8
99%	6.63	9.21	11.3	13.3	15.1	16.8
99.73%	9.00	11.8	14.2	16.3	18.2	20.1
99.99%	15.1	18.4	21.1	23.5	25.7	27.8

Only for multivariate Gaussian with constant covariance

$$-2\ln L = \chi^2$$

From: “Numerical recipes” Ch. 15

If likelihood is Gaussian and Covariance is constant



Example: for multi-variate Gaussian

Marginalization

$$P(\alpha_1..\alpha_j|D) = \int d\alpha_{j+1}, ...d\alpha_m P(\vec{\alpha}|D)$$

example

Other data sets

If independent, multiply the two likelihoods

(can use some of them as “priors”)

Beware of inconsistent experiments!



Spergel 2007

Useful trick for Gaussian likelihoods

e.g. marginalizing over point source amplitude

$$P(\alpha_1..\alpha_{m-1}|D) = \int \frac{dA}{(2\pi)^{\frac{m}{2}}||C||^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{\left[-\frac{1}{2}(C_i-(\hat{C}_i+AP_i))\Sigma_{ij}^{-1}(C_j-(\hat{C}_j+AP_j))\right]}$$
$$\times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(A-\hat{A})^2}{\sigma^2}\right]$$

The trick is to recognize that this integral can be written as:

$$P(\alpha_1..\alpha_{m-1}|D) = C_0 \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}C_1 - 2C_2A + C_3A^2\right] dA$$

$$\text{substitution } A \longrightarrow A - C_2/C_3$$

result $\propto \exp[-1/2(C_1 - C_2^2/C_3)]$

example

Cash 1979

Observation of N clusters is Poisson

$$\mathcal{P} = \Pi_{i=1}^{N}[e_i^{n_i}\exp(-e_i)/n_i!]$$

n_i is the number of clusters observed in the $i-th$ experimental bin

$e_i = I(x)\delta x_i$ ..... expected .....

Experimental bin (mass, SZ decrement, X-ray lum, z…)

Define $C \equiv -2\ln\mathcal{P} = 2(E - \sum_{i=1}^{N}\ln I_i)$

Unbinned or small bins
occupancy 1 or 0 only

E is the total expected number of clusters in a given model

ΔC Between 2 different models is chisquared-distributed!

Key concepts today

- Random fields and cosmology
- Probability
- Bayes theorem
- Gaussian distributions (and not)
- Modeling of data and statistical inference
- Likelihoods and chisquared
- Confidence levels; confidence regions (intro)

question

Have used the product of Poisson distributions
so have assumed independent processes…

Clusters are clustered…